OROBOROS INSTRUMENTS

high-resolution respirometry

Course on High-Resolution Respirometry

IOC68 - Feedback

2012-04-20

O2k-Workshop: O2k-Basic and TPP-Basic.

Schröcken, Vorarlberg, Austria April 11 – 16, 2012.

We thank the participants for taking the time to fill in the feedback forms and answer our questions. A short summary is provided below.

Questions and numerical answers:

Rate from 1 (low level) to 5 (high level):

	all participants (N=18; mean±SD)
Were your interests covered in the workshop?	4.2 ± 0.6
Presentation of lectures in terms of content:	4.2 ± 0.4
Presentation of lectures in terms of language:	4.7 ± 0.6
Quality of tutoring	4.5 ± 0.6
Overall rating of the workshop	4.7 ± 0.5

Balance from 1 (not enough) to 5 (too much); 3 would be optimum:

	all participants (N=18; mean±SD)
Balance between practical "hands-on" work and "theoretical" explanations:	3.4 ± 0.5
Level of tutoring during the "hands-on" work:	3.2 ± 0.7
Intensity of the O2k-Workshop:	3.0 ± 0.7

Questions and answers:

Which topics should be covered more intensively?

- I am not sure because I am new to this field in the level of detail covered in this workshop.
- Experimental design; basics of oxygraphy for beginners (1 session should be enough).
- Maybe try out more experimental protocols and practise to recognize states.
- Everything, if there was the time.... Still, very great!!
- While I know that the purpose of the workshop is mainly the O2k, some hands-on and tips for preparation of tissue / chemicals would be great.
- How coupling influences respiration; more scientific material.
- Protocols for studying in practice.
- More theoretical lectures would be nice and more hands-on.
- Flux control ratios were a bit confusing.
- I think with the introduction of the fluorescence module there is a lot of interest on ROS production and membrane potential. A more thoroun discussion

- More explanations about the layout and more details about cleaning procedures.
- Mitochondrial pathways.
- The use of different protocols and the advantages of different sample types.

Please list some points of the O2k-Workshop that may be improved:

- Less people in the hands on only 3 to 4.
- The weather and sight was covered most of the time :-(; sometimes a bit hard to follow everything with all the improvisations of Erich :-).
- Good balance between Science, Culture and Entertainment! Excellent food!
- In regards to preparation, I would prefer to have some summarized material, although I think chapter 3 (Pesta 2012) was pretty good.
- Do not use tutors that do not speak proper English! Fluorescence module inclusion was too confusing, especially for beginners.
- The demo experiments are very useful but I would have liked to do hands-on experiments simultaneous to them (if possible?).
- It was great; there were interest challenges when participants are from numerous backgrounds and levels to expertise.
- More practical work and hands-on.
- O2k basic: too much time spent on fluorometry and TPP introduction.
- Provide PDFs of lectures on flash drive and provide hard copy in notebook of demo traces to use when discussion states.
- More protocol setup for different types of samples.
- I felt it was extremely well organized and provided a great balance, so I really have no comments here.

Do you have any suggestions how we could improve the O2k-Workshop?

- I think it was excellent! I would have liked a course diploma :).
- Maintain the high standard! Very knowledgeable in structure, very approachable; excellent!
- Maybe separate into groups of people without experience vs. users with some experience.
- Longer?
- I really enjoyed the balance of work and play, the BEST I have ever been to. Great job with the time allotted, I am much more confident with starting to use the O2k.
- Separate groups (hands-on) for absolute beginners (no experience) and some experience – do level groups
- More practical work for beginners; more time for practical work with DatLab software.
- A presentation on the basics of substrates and inhibitors would be helpful.
- Use standardized language of abbreviations.
- Explain how to measure each state / complex in an appropriate order with inhibitors.
- Perhaps a brief overview / slide on TCA cycle and ETS pathway showing where substrates enter as well as sites of action of inhibitors would help to set states for novices to understand / interpret experiments.
- I preferred not to have late night discussions they could be planned before dinner and having dinner later; encouraging participants to present talks in order to get more information respect to their research areas would be nice; cover more trouble shooting points (in more details).

• Add a hands-on full protocol with calibration till protocol ends; do hands-on interest groups so that participants get divided into groups on the type of tissue they use.

DatLab Software: Features you want to see / Problems you observed:

- Typing in time range in scaling window is a bit tricky.
- Maybe more things could be automated, with respect to excel-templates and manual copy eliciting. I see possibilities of making mistakes when copying.
- It is already a good software.
- I think that DatLab is a great software package would be nice to have the opportunity to use it on a Mac.
- Too early for me to comment as I have yet to use it!
- Need to become much more familiar before providing any intelligent input!
- Some practical problems at the beginning of work.
- Still too early, need more hands-on.
- So far so good but I have not used enough to comment here.
- Everything is o.k.

The O2k-System: Features you want to see / Problems you observed:

- I would like to see a flow chart / check list at different types of experiments. Maybe there are some on Bioblast already?!
- Multi-channel pumps (e.g. up to 3 pumps); it is a good and robust system.
- There are many things I want to practice myself. At the workshop things made sense.
- None that I know of yet!
- Fluorescence module seemed to need more trouble shooting....
- Problem of inhibitor contamination of the chamber (oligomycin) even with long cleaning procedures (15 min ethanol and 15 min liver in buffer).

General feedback and suggestions to improve the O2k-Workshop from the "Panel discussion" as well as the open discussion of all participants

Panel discussion

- Palladino Michael J. (O2k basic)
 - o Deep congratulation to tutors stayed throughout the breaks, were always helpful and hard working tutors.
 - o Taught all to use the machine properly otherwise you will do lots of mistakes.

• Li Ying (O2k basic)

- Happy to learn so many new skills.
- o Improve science to help each other.
- o It was more than a workshop, a symposium, a conference really enjoyed it.
- o It was more than a workshop, a symposium, a conference really enjoyed it.

Fontana-Ayoub Mona (O2k basic)

- o First IOC did not know what to expect.
- Charming group of participants patient with her and were kind with all tutors.
- o Good team of participants and tutors working really well together.

Ojuka Edward (O2k basic)

- Very important what we are doing makes science better, exacte, accurate.
- o Advances in science are important.
- o Mistakes made in the past process till now is science.
- o The idea of the workshop is necessary for science.

• Fasching Mario (TPP basic)

- o Within the participants are potential tutors.
- Each TPP group is different.
- o Practical skills and learning were really good never experienced so less bubbles during a workshop!
- o It was a small group with real enthusiasm (had their own computers to work on).
- o Understood the experimental problems.

What could be improved?

- In the next workshop do not integrate the fluorescence module into O2k basic focus on basic and not on fluorescence!
- Provide a separate session for the fluorescence module!
- Separate session with the fluorescence module together with the O2k basic it was quiet confusing especially for beginners.
- Special sessions for people interested in would be good.
- Provide presentations as PDFs in advance on the USB or post on the website.
- A short introduction for those people who are not that advanced would be helpful short introduction into the oxygraph (how it works and so on).
- People do not really read reading is helpful but not all do it, some are confused and remain confused or are even more confused after reading!
- Oxygen kinetics would have been nice.
- Show how to prepare the homogenate and also fibre preparation.

General discussion

- The wiki is great! Erich and all the Oroboros team did an excellent job!! Thank you very much!
- Everything was perfect!
- Wonderful hospitation and beautiful atmosphere! Thanks!
- The workshop plays a key part gives technical support and provides a network of similar users.
- Bioblast is a good base.
- Excellent workshop!!
- the integration of the fluorescence module caused confusion especially to the beginners.
- Introduction to TPP is good.
- Special sessions for people interested in would be good.

- Basic features and also advanced features are good to see problem with time as the limiting factor.
- Nice to see the fluorescence module but a better cut off is necessary (too confusing).
- Thanks for guiding through the workshop!
- Amazing about the Wiki thanks for providing all the information on the web!
- Never been on a workshop like this great workshop!
- Organizing a workshop is complicated people attending have different backgrounds so it is difficult that everybody understands everything at once is difficult
- Spontaneous demo experiment: it is great because it is free-style and you get good comments during the experiment
- Handling of templates is important to get full documentation templates and documentation are great very good job!